



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,100	01/09/2001	Brett P. Monia	ISPH-0533	6913
	90 03/19/2002	EXAMINER		NFR
Kathleen A. Tyrrell Licata & Tyrrell P.C. 66 E. Main Street			LACOURCIER	
Marlton, NJ 08	8053		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

FILE COPY							
	Application !	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/757,100		MONIA ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Karen A. Laco	ourciere	1635	<u></u>			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Karen A. Lacourciere.		3)					
(2) <u>Jane Massey Licata</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>03-18-01</u> .	,	,					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant indicated that the Office action mailed 12-19-01 was not received until 03-15-02. Applicant noted that the Office action appeared to have been subject to a decontamination process, which may have caused the substantial delay. The examiner agreed to restart the period of response by mailing a new copy of the Office action mailed 12-19-01.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).							
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required					